

185751

1001 Wotan Road
Columbia, South Carolina 29229
April 30, 2007

VIA HAND DELIVERY
The Honorable Charles Terreni
Chief Clerk/Administrator
South Carolina Public Service Commission
101 Executive Center Drive
Post Office Drawer 11649
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

COPY
Posted: D. Duce
Dept: SA
Date: 5-1-07
Time: _____

8
MAY 1 2007
FBI

Re: Motion to Establish Two Separate Dockets for Consideration of Two Decisions on Separate Issues Contained in the Petition of the Office of Regulatory Staff to Establish Dockets to Consider Implementing of the Requirements of Section 1251 (Net Metering) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, present Docket No. 2005-385-E

Dear Mr. Terreni,

fxg Please find enclosed on behalf of myself, an intervenor in the hearing for the above established Docket#2005-385-E, ^{ten}~~twenty-seven~~ copies of the Motion to Separate into Two Dockets to Consider Two Separate Issues and Decisions Contained in the Petition of the ORS (as listed in Re: above), One Docket (Already Established) to Determine Whether or Not South Carolina Shall Have Net Metering, and a New Docket to Consider the Model of Implementation of Net Metering in South Carolina.

fxg Please accept the original and ^{ten}~~twenty-seven~~ copies of this motion for filing. Please file stamp the ~~twenty~~ ^{seventh} copy enclosed and return it to me by certified mail.

By copy of this letter, I am serving all other parties of record with a copy of the enclosed motion and attached certificate of service.

Please contact me regarding any questions or concerns you may have.

Sincerely,

Pamela Greenlaw
Pamela Greenlaw,
Intervenor

Enclosures

Cc: Nanette S. Edwards, Esquire
Shannon Bowyer Hudson, Esquire

Len S. Anthony, Esquire
John F. Hardaway
(Pamela Greenlaw)

Catherine Heigel, Esquire
Ruth Thomas
Mel Jenkins

MOTION TO SEPARATE INTO TWO DOCKETS TWO DECISION ISSUES CONTAINED IN THE
PETITION OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF TO ESTABLISH
DOCKETS TO CONSIDER IMPLEMENTATION OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 1251
(Net metering) OF THE ENERGY POLICY ACT OF 2005,
DOCKET No. 2005-385-E (NET METERING), RETAINING DECISION TO ADOPT OR REJECT
NET METERING AS DOCKET NO. 2005-385-E AND ESTABLISHING A NEW DOCKET FOR
DECISION TO CONSIDER THE MODEL FOR NET METERING

Pamela Greenlaw

Because consideration of the implementation of the requirements of section 1251 (Net Metering) of The Energy Policy Act of 2005 involves two separate decisions of different issues, the current Docket #2005-385-E should rightfully be separated into two dockets, and separate hearings should be established.

The first decision is that of whether or not to implement net metering in the State of South Carolina. This decision includes a wide scope of topics: South Carolina's compliance with EPA 2005 Section 1251 (3(d) fuel source and electricity generating efficiency standards; purposes of net metering; benefits and drawbacks of net metering; current trends of policies across the country and the globe with respect to energy development and usage; and future threats and opportunities in the light of new political and economic realities. This first decision can be handled under the already-established docket # 2005-385-E.

The second decision, which needs to have its own docket established, is that of selection of a model of implementation for net metering. As effective net metering has been ongoing in many states for over a decade, it would behoove our state to dedicate time for serious, comparative study and for meaningful dialogue among the five sets of stakeholders: utilities, state agencies, ratepayers, alternative energy providers (manufacturers, installers, and service staff) and alternative energy users. Establishing a second docket would provide time and opportunity for such study and dialogue as would yield an effective net metering system for South Carolina after which time the Public Service Commission could hear the case.

By establishing a new, separate docket for determining the model of implementation for net metering, the Public Service Commission would save much time and energy for itself as well as for the five sets of stakeholders.

In light of the above rationale, I ask the Public Service Commission to accept and approve the Motion to Establish a Docket to Consider the Model for Net Metering in the Implementing of the Requirements of Section 1251 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005. Please create and establish a second docket for consideration of the best model for net metering for South Carolina.

FILED
2007 JUN 14 10 51
SOUTH CAROLINA
OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have caused to be served this day five copies of the **Motion to Establish a New Docket for Consideration of the Model of Implementation of Net Metering for South Carolina** via hand delivery to the persons named below at the addresses set forth.

Pamela Greenlaw

Columbia, South Carolina
This 30 day of April 2007